```
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
   1
      SUFFOLK, SS
   2
                                        SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
                                        CRIMINAL BUSINESS
                                        No. 071882
   3
   4
   5
      COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
   6
                  VS
   7
      SHAWN DRUMGOLD
   8
  9
  10
  11
 12
 13
          BEFORE:
                   Alberti, J.
 14
                    Suffolk Superior Courthouse
                   New Courthouse
 15
                   Room 914
                   Boston, Massachusetts
 16
 17
         DATE:
                   Trial Day 10
 18
                   October 11, 1989
 19
. 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
                             PAULA PIETRELLA
                             Court Reporter
25
                              57 Quincy Way
              1,
                    Attleboro, Massachusetts 02703
```

INDEX 1 WITNESSES: PAGE 2 TERRANCE TAYLOR 3 direct examination by Mr. Rappaport 18 4 voir dire examination by Mr. Rappaport 23 5 direct examination (resumed) 40 6 cross examination by Mr. Beauchesne 60 104 redirect examination by Mr. Rappaport 7 PAUL DURAND 8 107 direct examination by Mr. Rappaport 9 115 cross examination by Mr. Beauchesne 123 redirect examination by Mr. Rappaport 10 SHAWN DRUMGOLD 11 128 direct examination by Mr. Rappaport 12 145 cross examination by Mr. Beauchesne redirect examination by Mr. Rappaport 162 13 RENA ROISTEN (rebuttal witness) 14 direct examination by Mr. Beauchesne 166 15 cross examination by Mr. Rappaport 172 16 THOMAS GOMPERTS (rebuttal witness) 17 175 direct examination by Mr. Beauchesne 177 cross examination by Mr. Rappaport 18 19 _ 20 **EXHIBITS:** 21 No. 17 - photocopied excerpt from Old Farmer's Almanac 22 165 marked and admitted 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS

LOBBY CONFERENCE

THE COURT: I have to ask you some questions for the record, so I'm going to ask you your name. Give me your name.

THE DEFENDANT: Shawn Drumgold.

THE COURT: You live in Roxbury?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Rappaport, your attorney, tells me that it's your decision to take the stand.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Which means you're going to testify in your own behalf.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: He's going to ask you the questions. And he'll direct you in areas he thinks are important.

A number of things I want to be sure you understand. I know you've been told, because with Mr. Rappaport as your attorney he tells you everything, communicates very well. I know you know, correct me if I'm wrong, that you don't have to take the stand unless you want to.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

EXHIBIT
Rappapart
2/3/07 M

THE COURT: Okay. You understand that. 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: You understand also that the 3 burden of proof in this case is on the government, the state to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable 5 doubt. You know that? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: To the unanimous verdict of 8 the jury. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: You know that. And you don't 11 have to provide for your own defense. You don't 12 have to do a thing, do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Now, you have chosen to put 15 That's fine. That's your decision on witnesses. 16 with Mr. Rapapport, but as far as you personally 17 are concerned, you don't have to say a word, and 18 I would tell the jury that you don't have to say 19 a word and they're to draw no inferences from that. , 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Now, once you're on 23 the stand, you're subject to what's called cross 24 examination. You've seen enough of it by your lawyer 25

and by Mr. Beauchesne so you know what it is.

an attempt to break down the testimony of the other In your case, Mr. Beauchesne is going to cross examine you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if you have a record, criminal record, he may use that to impeach you?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And impeach means to make you look less believable. You understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, do you understand also that in cross examination in this state, it's not just limited to what he, Mr. Rapapport asks you, but can go into other areas as long as they have something to do with this case, and I decide if they have something to do with this case. The fancy words for that are relevant and material. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

5

THE COURT: Okay. So that he's going to be asking you a lot of questions. Mr. Rappaport may object to them, but I may let them in. Now, I don't know what they're going to be, but I can

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

24

25

1	imagine that they will have something to do to make
2	you look less believable. That's the risk you run.
3	Do you understand that?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Now, have you talked about
6	this with Mr. Rappaport?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: And your mind's clear?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: I'm going to ask you a couple
11	of silly questions, but they're for a purpose. You
12	have no mental illness, do you?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No.
14	THE COURT: You haven't taken any drugs,
15	have you?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No.
17	THE COURT: Do you take any prescription
18	medicine?
19	THE DEFENDANT: No.
20	THE COURT: Have you taken any alcohol
21	today? It sounds silly but have you had any?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Now, is the decision
24	you made to take the stand your own decision?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
	',

THE COURT: Have you talked about it with 1 at all, your mother? your mom 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. She understands what you're doing. You've talked about it with Mr. Rappaport? 5 Yes. THE DEFENDANT: 6 THE COURT: Okay. You want to do it? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Anything else? 9 MR. RAPPAPORT: Nothing I can think of, 10 Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I find that he's taking the 12 stand of his own free will, fully understanding 13 the - do you know the expression, "downside risk"? 14 That's an expression -- you use expressions around 15 here that we don't use at home, but we use an 16 expression called a "downside risk." That means 17 it's a risk to you, not a positive thing. It's 18 a risk you're taking. The expression is a downside 19 risk. You understand, there is a risk, that if _ 20 you take the stand on cross examination you won't 21 look good. I'm not saying you won't. I'm saying 22 there is that chance. You understand that? Is 23 that yes? 24

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

, ,

25

got probation. October 2, '84, possession of controlled substance, Class B, with intent to distribute. He got a one year House of Correction committed on that in 7/10/85. He had another, in February 13, '85, he had possession of controlled substance A with intent to distribute. He got two and a half years in the House of Corrections, suspended with two years probation. And also at that time he had an unlawful possession of a firearm. He had one year House of Corrections suspended with that. And he also had, February 13, '85, out of Dorchester, defacing a serial number on a firearm. He got a House of Correction. Unlawful possession of ammunition and conspiracy.

THE COURT: Conspiracy to what?

MR. BEAUCHESNE: It just indicates conspiracy, and in each of those, those were three charges together and on each one he got two and a half in the House, suspended. And that's all the record I have on him.

THE COURT: The bottom line is, first, on any of these crimes of violence, no. But the drug and defacing, defacing a firearm is not a crime of violence.

MR. BEAUCHESNE: Correct, and even a

۱,

simple possession of a firearm or unlawful possession of ammunition are not.

THE COURT: The conspiracy, you know, that could be anything. It raises questions and I don't want to get in the middle of whether it's a conspiracy to deface a firearm, or --

MR. BEAUCHESNE: Before we get him up
here, we could get those papers up. It is a Superior
Court case, and could I ask the clerk to get those
papers up? I'll give you the numbers on them.

MR. GEORGE: Judge, I have a development to report to the Court with regard to Mr. Taylor.

THE COURT: Are we through with this?

MR. BEAUCHESNE: That's all I have.

MR. BEAUCHESNE: Your ruling is that with regard to the robbery and the assault, the robbery, it was amended to larceny from a person so it seems to me that the violent aspect of it went out of that. We would simply mention that he was convicted of larceny from a person. I won't mention the assault and battery with a DW.

THE COURT: Good.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. RAPPAPORT: I have a problem with the defacing the firearm, Judge.

THE COURT: It has nothing to do with 1 violence. I understand. That, to me, is the toughest 2 one. All this other is nothing. 3 MR. RAPPAPORT: I understand the drug offenses, and even the larceny, as long as you don't 5 say anything about the reduction. 6 MR. BEAUCHESNE: I won't. 7 MR. RAPPAPORT: I can understand larceny 8 but, quite frankly, I have problems with defacing 9 the firearm because it sounds like a guy who has 10 guns, and guns were used in this case. 11 THE COURT: I don't agree with that. 12 MR. BEAUCHESNE: Class D is grass, Class 13 B is cocaine, Class A is heroin. May I use those? 14 THE COURT: But they are not the only 15 ones. There are other B's. 16 MR. BEAUCHESNE: You're right. So I simply 17 will confine myself to Class A, B, and C. 18 THE COURT: And I will explain, if you 19 wish, that it can be a number of things. _ 20 MR. BEAUCHESNE: I would ask that you 21 explain. Now, the development. 22 THE COURT: What's new? 23 MR. GEORGE: Mr. Taylor was quite upset 24 yesterday after court, after Mr. Beauchesne's 25